arXiv:0909.1895v1 [math.PR] 10 Sep 2009

Integrability of Seminorms

Andreas Basse*

September 10, 2009

Abstract

We study integrability and equivalence of L^p-norms of polynomial chaos elements. Relying on known results for Banach space valued polynomials, a simple technique is presented to obtain integrability results for random elements that are not necessarily limits of Banach space valued polynomials. This enables us to prove integrability results for a large class of seminorms of stochastic processes and to answer, partially, a question raised by C. Borell (1979, Séminaire de Probabilités, XIII, 1–3).

Keywords: integrability; chaos processes; seminorms; regulary varying distributions

AMS Subject Classification: 60G17; 60B11; 60B12; 60E15

1 Introduction

Let T denote a countable set, $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ a stochastic process and N a seminorm on $\mathbb{R}^T$. This paper focuses on integrability and equivalence of L^p-norms of $N(X)$ in the case where X is a weak chaos process; see Definition 1.1. Of particular interest is the supremum and the p-variation norm given by

$$N(f) = \sup_{t\in T}|f(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad N(f) = \sup_{n\geq 1}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k_n}|f(t_i^n) - f(t_{i-1}^n)|^p\Big)^{1/p},\ p \geq 1, \tag{1.1}$$

for $f \in \mathbb{R}^T$. In the p-th variation case we assume moreover $T = [0,1]\cap\mathbb{Q}$ and $\pi_n = \{0 = t_0^n < \cdots < t_{k_n}^n = 1\}$ are nested subdivisions of T satisfying $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}\pi_n = T$. Note that if N is given by (1.1), $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T : N(x) < \infty\}$ and $\|x\| = N(x)$ for $x \in B$, then $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ is a non-separable Banach space when T is infinite.

---

[*] Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Aarhus,
Ny Munkegade, DK-8000 Århus C, Denmark. E-mail: basse@imf.au.dk

Our results partly unify and partly extend known results in this area. For relations to the literature see Subsection 1.2. We note, however, that in the setting of the present paper we are able to treat Rademacher chaos processes of arbitrary order as well as infinitely divisible integral processes as in (1.2) below.

1.1 Chaos Processes and Condition C_q

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ denote a probability space. When F is a topological space, a Borel measurable mapping $X\colon \Omega \to F$ is called an F-valued random element, however when $F = \mathbb{R}$, X is, as usual, called a random variable. For each $p > 0$ and random variable X we let $\|X\|_p := E[|X|^p]^{1/p}$, which defines a norm when $p \geq 1$; moreover, let $\|X\|_\infty := \inf\{t \geq 0 : P(|X| \leq t) = 1\}$. When F is a Banach space, $L^p(P; F)$ denotes the space of all F-valued random elements, X, satisfying $\|X\|_{L^p(P;F)} = E[\|X\|^p]^{1/p} < \infty$. Throughout the paper I denotes a set and for all $\xi \in I$, $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ is a family of independent random variables. Set $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_\xi : \xi \in I\}$. Furthermore, $d \geq 1$ is a natural number and F is a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space (l.c.TVS) with dual space F^*. Following Fernique (1997), a map N from F into $[0, \infty]$ is called a pseudo-seminorm if for all $x, y \in F$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$N(\lambda x) = |\lambda| N(x) \qquad \text{and} \qquad N(x + y) \leq N(x) + N(y).$$

For $\xi \in I$ let $\mathcal{P}^d_{\mathcal{H}_\xi}(F)$ denote the set of $p(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ where $n \geq 1$, $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ are different elements in $\mathcal{H}_\xi$ and p is an F-valued tetrahedral polynomial of order d. Recall that $p\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to F$ is called an F-valued tetrahedral polynomial of order d if there exist $x_0, x_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \in F$ and $l \geq 1$ such that

$$p(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq l} x_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_{i_j}.$$

For each F-valued random element X we will write $X \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(F)$ if there exist $(\xi_k)_{k\geq 1} \subseteq I$ and $X_k \in \mathcal{P}^d_{\mathcal{H}_{\xi_k}}(F)$ for $k \geq 1$ such that $X_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X$. Inspired by Ledoux and Talagrand (1991) we introduce the following definition:

Definition 1.1. An F-valued random element X is said to be a weak chaos element of order d associated with $\mathcal{H}$ if for all $n \geq 1$ and all $(x_i^*)_{i=1}^n \subseteq F^*$ we have $(x_1^*(X), \ldots, x_n^*(X)) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and in this case we write $X \in$ *weak*-$\overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(F)$. Similarly, a real-valued stochastic process $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ is said to be a weak chaos process of order d associated with $\mathcal{H}$ if for all $n \geq 1$ and $(t_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq T$ we have $(X_{t_1}, \ldots, X_{t_n}) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

An important example of a weak chaos process of order one is $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ of the form

$$X_t = \int_S f(t, s)\, \Lambda(ds), \qquad t \in T, \tag{1.2}$$

where Λ is an independently scattered infinitely divisible random measure (or random measure for short) on some non-empty space S equipped with a δ-ring $\mathcal{S}$, and $s \mapsto f(t,s)$ are Λ-integrable deterministic functions in the sense of Rajput and Rosiński (1989). To obtain the associated $\mathcal{H}$ let I be the set of all ξ given by $\xi = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$ for some $n \geq 1$ and disjoint sets $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ in $\mathcal{S}$, and let

$$\mathcal{H}_\xi = \{\Lambda(A_1), \ldots, \Lambda(A_n)\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_\xi\}_{\xi \in I}. \tag{1.3}$$

Then, by definition of the stochastic integral (1.2) as the limit of integrals of simple functions, $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ is a weak chaos process of order one associated with $\mathcal{H}$.

Another example is where $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is sequence of independent random variables and $x(t), x_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ are real numbers for which

$$X_t = x(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k < \infty} x_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}(t) \prod_{j=1}^{k} Z_{i_j},$$

exists in probability for all $t \in T$; then $X = (X_t)_{t \in T}$ is a weak chaos process of order d associated with $I = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{Z_n : n \geq 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_0\}$.

In what follows we shall need the next conditions:

Notation, Condition C_q

- For $q \in (0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{H}$ is said to satisfy C_q if there exists $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ such that for all $Z \in \cup_{\xi \in I} \mathcal{H}_\xi$ there exists $c_Z > 0$ with $P(|Z| \geq c_Z) \geq \beta_1$ and

$$E[|Z|^q, |Z| > s] \leq \beta_2 s^q P(|Z| > s), \qquad s \geq c_Z. \tag{1.4}$$

- $\mathcal{H}$ is said to satisfy C_∞ if $\cup_{\xi \in I} \mathcal{H}_\xi \subseteq L^1$ and

$$\sup_{\xi \in I} \sup_{Z \in \mathcal{H}_\xi} \left(\frac{\|Z - E[Z]\|_\infty}{\|Z - E[Z]\|_2} \right) = \beta_3 < \infty.$$

Remark 1.2. If $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q for some $q < \infty$ then for all $p \in (0, q)$ we have

$$\sup_{\xi \in I} \sup_{Z \in \mathcal{H}_\xi} \frac{\|Z\|_q}{\|Z\|_p} \leq (\beta_2 \vee 1)^{1/q} \beta_1^{-1/p} < \infty.$$

This follows by the next two estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} E[|Z|^q] &= E[|Z|^q, |Z| > c_Z] + E[|Z|^q, |Z| \leq c_Z] \\ &\leq \beta_2 c_Z^q P(|Z| > c_Z) + c_Z^q P(|Z| \leq c_Z) \leq (\beta_2 \vee 1) c_Z^q \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c_Z^p \beta_1 \leq c_Z^p P(|Z| \geq c_Z) \leq E[|Z|^p].$$

For example, when all $Z \in \cup_{\xi \in I} \mathcal{H}_\xi$ have the same distribution, $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q for all $q \in (0, \alpha)$ for $\alpha > 0$ if $x \mapsto P(|Z| > x)$ is regulary varying with index $-\alpha$, by Karamata's Theorem; see Bingham et al. (1989, Theorem 1.5.11). In particular, if the common distribution is symmetric α-stable for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ then $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q for all $q \in (0, \alpha)$. If the common distribution is Poisson, exponential, Gamma or Gaussian then C_q is satisfied for all $q > 0$. Finally $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_∞ if and only if the common distribution has compact support.

As we shall see in Section 2, C_q is crucial in order to obtain integrability results and equivalence of L^p-norms, so let us consider some cases where the important example (1.2) does or does not satisfy C_q. For this purpose let us introduce the following distributions: The inverse Gaussian distribution $\mathrm{IG}(\mu, \lambda)$ with $\mu, \lambda > 0$ is the distribution on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with density

$$f(x; \mu, \lambda) = \left[\frac{\lambda}{2\pi x^3}\right]^{1/2} e^{-\lambda(x-\mu)^2/(2\mu^2 x)}, \qquad x > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Moreover, the normal inverse Gaussian distribution $\mathrm{NIG}(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \delta)$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R},\ \delta \geq 0$, and $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$, is symmetric if and only if $\beta = \mu = 0$, and in this case it has the following density

$$f(x; \alpha, \delta) = \frac{\alpha e^{\delta\alpha}}{\pi\sqrt{1 + x^2\delta^{-2}}} K_1\left(\delta\alpha(1 + x^2\delta^{-2})^{1/2}\right), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where K_1 is the modified Bessel function of the third kind and index 1 given by $K_1(z) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty e^{-z(y+y^{-1})/2}\, dy$ for $z > 0$.

For each finite number $t_0 > 0$, a random measure Λ is said to be induced by a Lévy process $Y = (Y_t)_{t \in [0, t_0]}$ if $S = [0, t_0]$, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{B}([0, t_0])$ and $\Lambda(A) = \int_A dY_s$ for all $A \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $t_0 \geq 1$ be a finite number, Λ a random measure induced by a Lévy process $Y = (Y_t)_{t \in [0, t_0]}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be given by (1.3).*

(i) If Y_1 has an IG-distribution, then $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q if and only if $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

(ii) If Y_1 has a symmetric NIG-distribution, then $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q if and only if $q \in (0, 1)$.

(iii) If Y is non-deterministic and has no Gaussian component, then $\mathcal{H}$ does not satisfy C_q for any $q \geq 2$. In fact, for all square-integrable non-deterministic Lévy processes Y with no Gaussian component we have that $\lim_{t \to 0} \|Y_t\|_2 / \|Y_t\|_1 = \infty$.

By the scaling property it is not difficult to show that if Λ is a symmetric α-stable random measure with $\alpha \in (0, 2]$, then $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q for all $q > 0$ when $\alpha = 2$ and for all $q < \alpha$ when $\alpha < 2$. For $\alpha < 2$ we have the following minor extension: Assume Λ is induced by a Lévy process Y with Lévy measure $\nu(dx) = f(x)\, dx$ where f is a symmetric function satisfying $c_1|x|^{-1-\alpha} \leq f(x) \leq c_2|x|^{-1-\alpha}$ for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$, then $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q if and only if $q < \alpha$. Proposition 1.3 gives some insight about when C_q is satisfied; however, it would be interesting to develop more general conditions. We postpone the proof of Proposition 1.3 to Section 3.

1.2 Results on Integrability of Seminorms

Let T denote a countable set, $X = (X_t)_{t \in T}$ a real-valued stochastic process and N a measurable pseudo-seminorm on $\mathbb{R}^T$ such that $N(X) < \infty$ a.s. For X Gaussian Fernique (1970) shows that $e^{\varepsilon N(X)^2}$ is integrable for some $\varepsilon > 0$. This result is extended to Gaussian chaos processes by Borell (1978, Theorem 4.1). Moreover, if X is α-stable for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, de Acosta (1975, Theorem 3.2) shows that $N(X)^p$ is integrable for all $p < \alpha$. When X is infinitely divisible Rosiński and Samorodnitsky (1993) provide conditions on the Lévy measure ensuring integrability of $N(X)$. See also Hoffmann-Jørgensen (1977) for further results.

Given a sequence $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of independent random variables, Borell (1984) studies, under the condition

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\|Z_n - E[Z_n]\|_q}{\|Z_n - E[Z_n]\|_2} < \infty, \qquad q \in (2, \infty], \tag{1.6}$$

integrability of Banach space valued random elements which are limits in probability of tetrahedral polynomials associated with $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$. For $q = \infty$, (1.6) is C_∞ but when $q < \infty$ (1.6) is weaker than C_∞, at least when $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are centered random variables. As shown in Borell (1984), (1.6) implies equivalence of L^p-norms for Hilbert space valued tetrahedral polynomials for $p \leq q$, but not for Banach space valued tetrahedral polynomials except in the case $q = \infty$. Under the assumption that $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are symmetric random variables satisfying C_q, Kwapień and Woyczyński (1992, Theorem 6.6.2) show that we have equivalence of L^p-norms in the above setting. Contrary to Borell (1984), Kwapień and Woyczyński (1992) and others, we consider random elements which are not necessarily limits of tetrahedral polynomials, and also more general spaces are considered. This enables us to obtain our integrability results for seminorms of stochastic processes.

Weak chaos processes appear in the context of multiple integral processes; see e.g. Krakowiak and Szulga (1988) for the α-stable case. Rademacher chaos processes are applied repeatedly when studying U-statistics; see de la Peña and Giné (1999). They are also used to study infinitely divisible chaos processes; see Marcus and Rosiński (2003), Rosiński and Samorodnitsky (1996), Basse and Pedersen (2009) and others. Using the results of the present paper, Basse and Graversen (2009) extend some results on Gaussian semimartingales (e.g. Jain and Monrad (1982) and Stricker (1983)) to a large class of chaos processes.

2 Main results

The next lemma, which is a combination of several results, is crucial for this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F denote a Banach space and X an F-valued tetrahedral polynomial of order d in the independent random variables $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$. Assume that $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_0\}$ satisfies C_q for some $q \in (0, \infty]$, where $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}$; if $d \geq 2$ and $q < \infty$ assume moreover that $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ are symmetric. Then for all $0 < p < r \leq q$ with $r < \infty$ we have that*

$$\|X\|_{L^r(P;F)} \leq k_{p,r,d,\beta} \|X\|_{L^p(P;F)} < \infty,$$

where $k_{p,r,d,\beta}$ depends only on p, q, d and the β's from C_q. If $q = \infty$ and $p \geq 2$ we may choose $k_{p,r,d,\beta} = A_d \beta^{2d} r^{d/2}$ with $A_d = 2^{d^2/2+2d}$.

For $q < \infty$ and $d = 1$, Lemma 2.1 is a consequence of Kwapień and Woyczyński (1992, 2.2.4). Furthermore, for $q \in (1, \infty)$ and $d \geq 2$ it is taken from the proof of Kwapień and Woyczyński (1992, Theorem 6.6.2) and using Kwapień and Woyczyński (1992, Remark 6.9.1) the result is seen to hold also for $q \in (0, 1]$. For $q = \infty$, Lemma 2.1 is a consequence of Borell (1984, Theorem 4.1). In Borell (1984) the result is only stated for $2 \leq p < r$, however, a standard application of Hölder's inequality shows that it is valid for all $0 < p < r$; see e.g. Pisier (1978, Lemme 1.1). Finally, in Borell (1984) there are no explicit expression for A_d; this can, however, be obtained by applying the next Lemma 2.2 in the proof of Borell (1984, Theorem 4.1).

Lemma 2.2. *Let V denote a vector space, N a seminorm on V, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $x_0, \ldots, x_d \in V$.*

$$\text{If } N\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{d} \lambda^k x_k\Big) \leq 1 \text{ for all } \lambda \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \quad \text{then} \quad N\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{d} x_k\Big) \leq 2^{d^2/2+d} \varepsilon^{-d}. \tag{2.1}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is postponed to Section 3.

An F-valued random element X is said to be a.s. separably valued if $P(X \in A) = 1$ for some separable closed subset A of F. We have the following result:

Theorem 2.3. *Let F denote a metrizable l.c.TVS, $X \in$ weak-$\overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(F)$ an a.s. separably valued random element and N a lower semicontinuous pseudo-seminorm on F such that $N(X) < \infty$ a.s. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q for some $q \in (0, \infty]$ and if $q < \infty$ and $d \geq 2$ that all elements in $\cup_{\xi \in I} \mathcal{H}_\xi$ are symmetric. Then for all finite $0 < p < r \leq q$ we have*

$$\|N(X)\|_r \leq k_{p,r,d,\beta} \|N(X)\|_p < \infty,$$

where $k_{p,r,d,\beta}$ depends only on p, q, d and the β's from C_q. Furthermore, in the case $q = \infty$ we have that $E[e^{\varepsilon N(X)^{2/d}}] < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon < d/(e 2^{d+5} \beta_3^4 \|N(X)\|_2^{2/d})$.

For $q = \infty$, Theorem 2.3 answers in the case where the pseudo-seminorm is lower semicontinuous a question raised by Borell (1979) concerning integrability of pseudo-seminorms of Rademacher chaos elements. This additional assumption is satisfied in most examples, in particular in the examples in (1.1). Using the equivalence of norms in Theorem 2.3 we have by Krakowiak and Szulga (1986, Corollary 1.4) the following corollary:

Corollary 2.4. *Let F and $\mathcal{H}$ be as in Theorem 2.3 and N be a continuous seminorm on F. Then given $(X_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq$ weak-$\overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(F)$ all a.s. separably valued such that $\lim_n X_n = 0$ in probability we have $\|N(X_n)\|_p \to 0$ for all finite $p \in (0, q]$.*

Theorem 2.3 relies on the following two lemmas together with an application of Lemma 2.1 on the Banach space l^n_∞, that is $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the sup norm. First, arguing as in Fernique (1997, Lemme 1.2.2) we have:

Lemma 2.5. *Assume F is a strongly Lindelöf l.c.TVS. Then a pseudo-seminorm N on F is lower semicontinuous if and only if there exists $(x^*_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq F^*$ such that $N(x) = \sup_{n\geq 1}|x^*_n(x)|$ for all $x \in F$.*

Proof. The *if*-implication is trivial. To show the *only if*-implication let $A := \{x \in F : N(x) \leq 1\}$. Then A is convex and balanced since N is a pseudo-seminorm and closed since N is lower semicontinuous. Thus by the Hahn-Banach theorem, see Rudin (1991, Theorem 3.7), for all $x \notin A$ there exists $x^* \in F^*$ such that $|x^*(y)| \leq 1$ for all $y \in A$ and $x^*(y) > 1$, showing that

$$A^c = \bigcup_{x\in A^c} \{y \in F : |x^*(y)| > 1\}.$$

Since F is strongly Lindelöf, there exists $(x_n)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq A^c$ such that

$$A^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{y \in F : |x^*_n(y)| > 1\},$$

implying that $A = \{y \in F : \sup_{n\geq 1}|x^*_n(y)| \leq 1\}$. Thus by homogeneity we have $N(y) = \sup_{n\geq 1}|x^*_n(y)|$ for all $y \in F$. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $n \geq 1$, $0 < p < q$ and $C > 0$ be given such that*

$$\|X\|_{L^q(P;l^n_\infty)} \leq C\|X\|_{L^p(P;l^n_\infty)} < \infty, \qquad X \in \mathcal{P}^d_{\mathcal{H}_\xi},\ \xi \in I. \tag{2.2}$$

Then, for all $(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have that

$$\|\max_{1\leq k\leq n}|X_k|\|_q \leq C\|\max_{1\leq k\leq n}|X_k|\|_p < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $X \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and choose $(\xi_k)_{k\geq 1} \subseteq I$ and $X_k \in \mathcal{P}^d_{\mathcal{H}_{\xi_k}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $k \geq 1$ such that $X_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} X$. Moreover, let $U_k = \|X_k\|_{l^n_\infty}$ and $U = \|X\|_{l^n_\infty}$. Then, $U_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} U$ showing that $(U_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is bounded in L^0, and by (2.2) and Krakowiak and Szulga (1986, Corollary 1.4), $\{U_k^p : k \geq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable. This shows that

$$\|U\|_q \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \|U_k\|_q \leq C \liminf_{k\to\infty} \|U_k\|_p = C\|U\|_p < \infty,$$

and the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Since X is a.s. separably valued we may and will assume that F is separable. Hence according to Lemma 2.5 there exists $(x_n^*)_{n\geq 1} \subseteq F^*$ such that $N(x) = \sup_{n\geq 1}|x_n^*(x)|$ for all $x \in F$. For $n \geq 1$, let $X_n := x_n^*(X)$ and $U_n = \sup_{1\leq k\leq n}|X_k|$. Then $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges almost surely to $N(X)$. For finite $0 < p < r \leq q$ let $C = k_{p,r,d,\beta}$. Combining Lemmas 2.1 and 2.6 show $\|U_n\|_q \leq C\|U_n\|_p < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$. This implies that $\{U_n^p : n \geq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable and hence we have that

$$\|N(X)\|_r \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|U_n\|_r \leq C \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|U_n\|_p = C\|N(X)\|_p < \infty.$$

Finally, the exponential integrability under C_∞ follows by the last part of Lemma 2.1 since

$$E[e^{\varepsilon N(X)^{2/d}}] \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \|N(X)\|_{2k/d}^{2k/d} + \sum_{k=d+1}^{\infty} \left(\varepsilon 2^{d+5}\beta_3^4 \|N(X)\|_2^{2/d}/d\right)^k \frac{k^k}{k!}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Let T denote a countable set and $F = \mathbb{R}^T$ equipped with the product topology. F is then a separable and locally convex Fréchet space and all $x^* \in F^*$ are of the form $x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x(t_i)$, for some $n \geq 1$, $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in T$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus for $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ we have that $X \in$ *weak*-$\overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(F)$ if and only if X is a weak chaos process of order d. Rewriting Theorem 2.3 in the case $F = \mathbb{R}^T$ we obtain the following result:

Theorem 2.7. *Assume $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q for some $q \in (0, \infty]$ and if $q < \infty$ and $d \geq 2$ that all elements in $\cup_{\xi\in I}\mathcal{H}_\xi$ are symmetric. Let T denote a countable set, $(X_t)_{t\in T}$ a weak chaos process of order d and N a lower semicontinuous pseudo-seminorm on $\mathbb{R}^T$ such that $N(X) < \infty$ a.s. Then for all finite $0 < p < r \leq q$ we have*

$$\|N(X)\|_r \leq k_{p,r,d,\beta}\|N(X)\|_p < \infty,$$

and in the case $q = \infty$ that $E[e^{\varepsilon N(X)^{2/d}}] < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon < d/(e2^{d+5}\beta_3^4\|N(X)\|_2^{2/d})$.

For example, let $T = [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ be of the form $X_t = \int_0^1 f(t,s)\,dY_s$ where Y is a symmetric normal inverse Gaussian Lévy process, and $N\colon \mathbb{R}^T \to [0,\infty]$ be given by (1.1). Then, N is a lower semicontinuous pseudo-seminorm and X is weak chaos process of order one satisfying C_q for all $q < 1$ according to Proposition 1.3. Thus, if $N(X) < \infty$ a.s. then $E[N(X)^p] < \infty$ for all $p < 1$, according to Theorem 2.7.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote a vector space of Gaussian random variables and $\overline{\Pi}^d_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{R})$ be the closure in probability of the random variables $p(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$, where $n \geq 1$, $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{G}$ and $p\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial of degree at most d (not necessary tetrahedral).

Lemma 2.8. *Let F be a l.c.TVS and X an F-valued random element such that $x^*(X) \in \overline{\Pi}^d_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x^* \in F^*$; then $X \in$ weak-$\overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(F)$ where $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0$ is a Rademacher sequence.*

Recall that a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $P(Z_1 = \pm 1) = 1/2$ is called a Rademacher sequence.

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$, $x^*_1, \dots, x^*_n \in F^*$ and $W = (x^*_1(X), \dots, x^*_n(X))$. We need to show that $W \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For all $k \geq 1$ we may choose polynomials $p_k\colon \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of degree at most d and $Y_{1,k}, \dots, Y_{k,k}$ independent standard normal random variables such that with $Y_k = (Y_{1,k}, \dots, Y_{k,k})$ we have $\lim_k p_k(Y_k) = W$ in probability. Hence it suffices to show $p_k(Y_k) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $k \geq 1$. Fix $k \geq 1$ and let us write p and Y for p_k and Y_k. Reenumerate $\mathcal{H}_0$ as k independent Rademacher sequences $(Z_{i,m})_{i \geq 1}$ $m = 1, \dots, k$ and set

$$U_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{j} (Z_{1,i}, \dots, Z_{k,i}), \qquad j \geq 1.$$

Then, by the central limit theorem $U_j \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Y$ and hence $p(U_j) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} p(Y)$. Due to the fact that all $Z_{i,m}$ only takes on the values ± 1, $p(U_j) \in \mathcal{P}^d_{\mathcal{H}_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $j \geq 1$, showing that $p(Y) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

The $\mathcal{H}$ in Lemma 2.8 trivially satisfies C_∞ with $\beta_3 = 1$ and hence a combination of Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.8 shows:

Proposition 2.9. *Let F be a l.c.TVS and X an a.s. separably valued random element in F such that $x^*(X) \in \overline{\Pi}^d_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x^* \in F^*$. Then, for all lower semicontinuous pseudo-seminorms N on F satisfying $N(X) < \infty$ a.s. we have*

$$\|N(X)\|_r \leq 2^{d^2/2+d} \left(\frac{r-1}{p-1}\right)^{d/2} \|N(X)\|_p < \infty,$$

and $E[e^{\varepsilon N(X)^{2/d}}] < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon < d/(e 2^{d+5} \|N(X)\|_2^{2/d})$.

The integrability of $e^{\varepsilon N(X)^{2/d}}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ is a consequence of the seminal work Borell (1978, Theorem 4.1). However, the above provides a very simple proof of this result and gives also equivalence of L^p-norms and explicit constants. When $F = \mathbb{R}^T$ for some countable set T, Proposition 2.9 covers processes $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$, where all time variables have the following representation in terms of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals with respect to a Brownian motion W,

$$X_t = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^k} f(t,k;s_1,\ldots,s_k)\, dW_{s_1}\cdots dW_{s_k}, \qquad t \in T.$$

The next result is known from Arcones and Giné (1993, Theorem 3.1) for general Gaussian polynomials.

Proposition 2.10. *Assume that $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_0\}$ satisfies C_q for some $q \in [2,\infty]$ and $\mathcal{H}_0$ consists of symmetric random variables. Let F denote a Banach space and X an a.s. separably valued random element in F with $x^*(X) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x^* \in F^*$. Then there exists $x_0, x_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \in F$ and $\{Z_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$ such that for all finite $p \leq q$*

$$X = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_k\leq n} x_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} Z_{i_j} \Big) \qquad \textit{a.s. and in } L^p(P;F).$$

Proof. We follow Arcones and Giné (1993, Lemma 3.4). Since X is a.s. separably valued we may and do assume F is separable, which implies that $F_1^* := \{x^* \in F^* : \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$ is metrizable and compact in the weak*-topology by the Banach-Alaoglu theorem; see Rudin (1991, Theorem 3.15+3.16). Moreover, the map $x^* \mapsto x^*(X)$ from F_1^* into L^0 is trivially weak*-continuous and thus a weak*-continuous map into L^2 by Corollary 2.4. This shows that $\{x^*(X) : x^* \in F_1^*\}$ is compact in L^2 and hence separable. By definition of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R})$, this implies that there exists a countable set $\{Z_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$ such that

$$x^*(X) = \sum_{A\in N_d} a(A,x^*) Z_A, \qquad \text{in } L^2,$$

for some $a(A,x^*) \in \mathbb{R}$, where $N_d = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : |A| \leq d\}$ and $Z_A = \prod_{i\in A} Z_i$ for $A \in N_d$. For $A \in N_d$, the map $x^* \mapsto a(A,x^*)$ from F^* into $\mathbb{R}$ is linear and weak*-continuous and hence there exists $x_A \in F$ such that $a(A,x^*) = x^*(x_A)$, showing that

$$x^*(X) = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^*\Big(\sum_{A\in N_d^n} x_A Z_A \Big), \qquad \text{in } L^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where $N_d^n = \{A \in N_d : A \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}\}$. Since F is separable, (2.3) and Kwapień and Woyczyński (1992, Theorem 6.6.1) show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{A\in N_d^n} x_A Z_A = X \qquad \text{a.s.}$$

By Corollary 2.4 the convergence also takes place in $L^p(P;F)$ for all finite $p \leq q$, which completes the proof. $\square$

The above proposition gives rise to the following corollary:

Corollary 2.11. *Assume that* $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_0\}$ *satisfies* C_q *for some* $q \in [2,\infty]$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_0$ *consists of symmetric random variables. Let* T *denote a set,* $V(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^T$ *a separable Banach space where the map* $f \mapsto f(t)$ *from* $V(T)$ *into* $\mathbb{R}$ *is continuous for all* $t \in T$*, and* $X = (X_t)_{t\in T}$ *a stochastic process with sample paths in* $V(T)$ *satisfying* $X_t \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R})$ *for all* $t \in T$*. Then there exists* $x_0, x_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \in V(T)$ *and* $\{Z_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0$ *such that*

$$X = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Big(x_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_k\leq n} x_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} Z_{i_j} \Big)$$

a.s. in $V(T)$ *and in* $L^p(P;V(T))$ *for all finite* $p \leq q$*.*

Proof. For $t \in T$, let $\delta_t \colon V(T) \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the map $f \mapsto f(t)$. Since $V(T)$ is a separable Banach space and $\{\delta_t : t \in T\} \subseteq V(T)^*$ separate points in $V(T)$ we have

(i) the Borel σ-field on $V(T)$ equals the cylindrical σ-field $\sigma(\delta_t : t \in T)$,

(ii) $\{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{t_i} : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R},\ t_i \in T,\ n \geq 1\}$ is sequentially weak*-dense in $V(T)^*$,

see e.g. Rosiński (1986, page 287). By (i) we may regard X as a random element in $V(T)$ and by (ii) it follows that $x^*(X) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}^d_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x^* \in V(T)^*$. Hence the result is a consequence of Proposition 2.10. $\square$

Borell (1984, Theorem 5.1) shows Corollary 2.11 assuming (1.6), T is a compact metric space, $V(T) = C(T)$ and $X \in L^q(P;V(T))$. By assuming C_q instead of the weaker condition (1.6) we can omit the assumption $X \in L^q(P;V(T))$. Note also that by Theorem 2.7 the last assumption is satisfied under C_q. When $\mathcal{H}_0$ consists of symmetric α-stable random variables and $d = 1$, Corollary 2.11 is known from Rosiński (1986, Corollary 5.2). The separability assumption on $V(T)$ in Corollary 2.11 is crucial. Indeed, for all $p > 1$, Jain and Monrad (1983, Proposition 4.5) construct a separable centered Gaussian process $X = (X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ with sample paths in the non-separable Banach space B_p of functions of finite p-variation on $[0,1]$ such that the range of X is a non-separable subset of B_p and hence the conclusion in Corollary 2.11 can not be true. However, for the non-separable Banach space B_1 a result similar to Corollary 2.11 is shown in Jain and Monrad (1982) for Gaussian processes, and extended to weak chaos processes in Basse and Graversen (2009).

3 Proofs of Proposition 1.3 and Lemma 2.2

Let us start by proving Proposition 1.3.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Assume that Λ is a random measure induced by a Lévy process $Y=(Y_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$. For arbitrary $A\in\mathcal{S}$ let $Z=\Lambda(A)$.

To prove the *if*-implication of (i) let $q\in(0,\frac{1}{2})$ and assume that $Y_1\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\mathrm{IG}(\mu,\lambda)$. Then $Z\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\mathrm{IG}(m(A)\mu,m(A)^2\lambda)$, where m is the Lebesgue measure, and hence with $c_Z=m(A)^2\lambda$ we have that $Z/c_Z\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\mathrm{IG}(\mu/(\lambda m(A)),1)$, which has a density which on $[1,\infty)$ is bounded from below and above by constants (not depending on x) times $g_Z(x)$, where

$$g_Z\colon\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+,\qquad x\mapsto x^{-3/2}\exp[-x(\lambda m(A))^2/(2\mu^2)].$$

Thus there exists a constant $c>0$, not depending on A or s, such that

$$\frac{E[|Z/c_Z|^q,|Z/c_Z|>s]}{s^qP(|Z/c_Z|>s)}\le c\sup_{u>0}\left(\frac{\int_u^\infty x^{q-3/2}e^{-x}\,dx}{u^q\int_u^\infty x^{-3/2}e^{-x}\,dx}\right)\qquad s\ge1. \tag{3.1}$$

Using e.g. l'Hôpital's rule it is easily seen that (3.1) is finite, showing (1.4). Therefore C_q follows by the inequality

$$P(Z/c_Z\ge1)\ge\frac{e^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_1^\infty x^{-3/2}\exp[-x(\lambda T)^2/(2\mu^2)]\,dx.$$

To show the *only if*-implication of (i) note that $n^2Y_{1/n}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}X$ as $n\to\infty$, where X follows a $\frac{1}{2}$-stable distribution on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies C_q for some $q\ge1/2$. Then, by Remark 1.2 there exists $c>0$ such that $\|Y_t\|_{1/2}\le c\|Y_t\|_{1/4}$ for all $t\in[0,1]$, and since $\{n^2Y_{1/n}:n\ge1\}$ is bounded in L^0 it is also bounded in $L^{1/2}$. But this contradicts

$$\infty=\|X\|_{1/2}\le\liminf_{n\to\infty}\|n^2Y_{1/n}\|_{1/2},$$

and shows that $\mathcal{H}$ does not satisfy C_q.

To show the *if*-implication of (ii) assume that $Y_1\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\mathrm{NIG}(\alpha,0,0,\delta)$. Then, $Z\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\mathrm{NIG}(\alpha,0,0,m(A)\delta)$ and with $c_Z=m(A)\delta$ we have that $Z/c_Z\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\varepsilon U_Z^{1/2}$, where U_Z and ε are independent, $U_Z\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\mathrm{IG}(1/(m(A)\delta\alpha),1)$ and $\varepsilon\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\mathrm{N}(0,1)$. For $q\in(0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}E[|Z/c_Z|^q,|Z/c_Z|>s]=\sqrt{2\pi^{-1}}\Big(&\int_0^s E[|xU_Z^{1/2}|^q,|xU_Z^{1/2}|>s]e^{-x^2/2}\,dx\\&+\int_s^\infty E[|xU_Z^{1/2}|^q,|xU_Z^{1/2}|>s]e^{-x^2/2}\,dx\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Using the above (i) on U_Z and $q/2$, there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^s E[|xU_Z^{1/2}|^q, |xU_Z^{1/2}| > s]e^{-x^2/2}\,dx \le c_1 s^q \int_0^s P\big(U_Z > (s/x)^2\big)e^{-x^2/2}\,dx$$
$$\le c_1 s^q \int_0^\infty P\big(xU_Z^{1/2} > s\big)e^{-x^2/2}\,dx = c_1\sqrt{\pi 2^{-1}}s^q P(|Z/c_Z| > s).$$

Furthermore, it well known that there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that for all $s \ge 1$

$$\int_s^\infty E[|xU_Z^{1/2}|^q, |xU_Z^{1/2}| > s]e^{-x^2/2}\,dx$$
$$\le E[U_Z^{q/2}]\int_s^\infty x^q e^{-x^2/2}\,dx \le c_2 s^q E[U_Z^{q/2}]\int_s^\infty e^{-x^2/2}\,dx.$$

Since U_Z has a density given by (1.5) it is easily seen that

$$E[U_Z^{q/2}] \le 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_1^\infty x^{q/2-3/2}\,dx.$$

Moreover, using that $Z/c_Z \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \mathrm{NIG}(m(A)\alpha\delta, 0, 0, 1)$ and that $K_1(z) \ge e^{-z}/z$ for all $z > 0$, it is not difficult to show that there exists a constant c_3, not depending on s and A, such that

$$\int_s^\infty e^{-x^2/2}\,dx \le c_3 P(|Z/c_Z| > s), \qquad \text{for all } s \ge 1. \tag{3.2}$$

By combining the above we obtain (1.4) and by (3.2) applied on $s = 1$, C_q follows. The *only if*-implication of (ii) follows similar to the one of (i), now using that $(n^{-1}Y_{1/n})_{n\ge 1}$ converge weakly to a symmetric 1-stable distribution. (iii) is a consequence of the next lemma. ☐

The following lemma is concerned with the dynamics of the first and second moments of Lévy processes, and it has Proposition 1.3 (iii) as a direct consequence.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Y denote a non-deterministic and square-integrable Lévy process with no Gaussian component. Then $\|Y_t\|_1 = o(t^{1/2})$ and $\|Y_t\|_2 \sim t^{1/2}\sqrt{E[(Y_1 - E[Y_1])^2]}$ as $t \to 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$E[Y_t^2] = Var(Y_t) + E[Y_t]^2 = Var(Y_1)t + E[Y_1]^2 t^2,$$

which shows that $\|Y_t\|_2 \sim t^{1/2}Var(Y_1)^{1/2}$ as $t \to 0$.

To show that $\|Y_t\|_1 = o(t^{1/2})$ as $t \to 0$ we may assume that Y is symmetric. Indeed let $\mu = E[Y_1]$, Y' an independent copy of Y and $\tilde{Y}_t = Y_t - Y_t'$. Then $\tilde{Y}$ is a symmetric square-integrable Lévy process and

$$\|Y_t\|_1 \le \|Y_t - \mu t\|_1 + |\mu| \le \|Y_t - \mu t - (Y_t' - \mu t)\|_1 + |\mu|t = \|\tilde{Y}_t\|_1 + |\mu|t.$$

Hence assume that Y is symmetric. Recall, e.g. from Hoffmann-Jørgensen (1994, Exercise 5.7), that for any random variable U we have

$$\|U\|_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{1 - \Re \varphi_U(s)}{s^2}\, ds,$$

where φ_U denotes the characteristic function of U. Using the inequalities $1 - e^{-x} \leq 1 \wedge x$ and $1 - \cos(x) \leq 4(1 \wedge x^2)$ for all $x \geq 0$ it follows that with $\psi(s) := 4 \int (1 \wedge |sx|^2)\, \nu(dx)$ we have

$$\|Y_t\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{1 - e^{-t\psi(s)}}{s^2}\, ds \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{|t\psi(s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds.$$

Note that $\psi(s) < \infty$ since Y is square-integrable. By substitution we get

$$\int \frac{|t\psi(s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds \leq 2t^{1/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{|t\psi(t^{-1/2}s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds.$$

Hence to complete the proof we need only to show that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{|t\psi(t^{-1/2}s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Setting $c = 4 \int x^2\, \nu(dx)$ we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{t \to 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{|t\psi(t^{-1/2}s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \to 0} \int_0^{\varepsilon/c} \frac{|t\psi(t^{-1/2}s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds + \limsup_{t \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon/c}^\infty \frac{|t\psi(t^{-1/2}s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Using that $\psi(x) \leq cx^2$ for $x \geq 0$ we get

$$\limsup_{t \to 0} \int_0^{\varepsilon/c} \frac{|t\psi(t^{-1/2}s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds \leq \varepsilon. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem shows that

$$\psi(x)x^{-2} = 4 \int (x^{-2} \wedge s^2)\, \nu(dx) \xrightarrow[x \to \infty]{} 0,$$

implying that $t\psi(t^{-1/2}s) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$ for all $s \geq 0$. Thus another application of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\limsup_{t \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon/c}^\infty \frac{|t\psi(t^{-1/2}s)| \wedge 1}{s^2}\, ds = 0,$$

which by (3.4) and (3.5) shows (3.3). □

Let us proceed with the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Assume first that $x_0, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{R}$. By induction in d, let us show:

$$\text{If } \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d} \lambda^k x_k\Big| \leq 1 \text{ for all } \lambda \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \quad \text{then} \quad \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d} x_k\Big| \leq 2^{d^2/2+d}\varepsilon^{-d}. \tag{3.6}$$

For $d = 1, 2$ (3.6) follows by a straightforward argument, so assume $d \geq 3$, (3.6) holds for $d-1$ and that the left-hand side of (3.6) holds for d. We have

$$\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d} \lambda^k (\varepsilon^k x_k)\Big| \leq 1, \qquad \text{for all } \lambda \in [-1, 1],$$

which by Pólya and Szegö (1954, Aufgabe 77) shows that $|x_d \varepsilon^d| \leq 2^d$ and hence $|x_d| \leq 2^d \varepsilon^{-d}$. For $\lambda \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, the triangle inequality yields

$$\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \lambda^k x_k\Big| \leq 1 + 2^d, \quad \text{and hence} \quad \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \lambda^k \frac{x_k}{1+2^d}\Big| \leq 1.$$

The induction hypothesis implies

$$\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} x_k\Big| \leq \varepsilon^{-(d-1)} 2^{(d-1)^2+(d-1)} (1 + 2^d),$$

and hence another application of the triangle inequality shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d} x_k\Big| &\leq \varepsilon^{-d} 2^d + \varepsilon^{-(d-1)} 2^{(d-1)^2/2+(d-1)} (1 + 2^d) \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{-d} 2^{d^2/2+d} \Big(2^{-d^2/2} + 2^{-1/2-d} + 2^{-1/2}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which is less than or equal to $\varepsilon^{-d} 2^{d^2/2+d}$ since $d \geq 3$. This completes the proof of (3.6).

Now let $x_0, \dots, x_d \in V$. Since N is a seminorm, Hahn-Banach theorem (see Rudin (1991, Theorem 3.2)) shows that there exists a family Λ of linear functionals on V such that

$$N(x) = \sup_{F \in \Lambda} |F(x)|, \qquad \text{for all } x \in V.$$

Assuming that the left-hand side of (2.1) is satisfied we have

$$\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d} \lambda^k F(x_k)\Big| \leq 1, \qquad \text{for all } \lambda \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \text{ and all } F \in \Lambda,$$

which by (3.6) shows

$$\Big|F\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{d} x_k\Big)\Big| = \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{d} F(x_k)\Big| \leq 2^{d(d-1)}\varepsilon^{-d}, \qquad \text{for all } F \in \Lambda.$$

This completes the proof. □

References

Arcones, M. A. and E. Giné (1993). On decoupling, series expansions, and tail behavior of chaos processes. *J. Theoret. Probab. 6*(1), 101–122.

Basse, A. and S.-E. Graversen (2009). Path and semimartingale properties of chaos processes. *Preprint.*

Basse, A. and J. Pedersen (2009). Lévy driving moving averages and semimartingales. *Stochastic process. Appl. 119*(9), 2970–2991.

Bingham, N. H., C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels (1989). *Regular variation*, Volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Borell, C. (1978). Tail probabilities in Gauss space. In *Vector space measures and applications (Proc. Conf., Univ. Dublin, Dublin, 1977), II*, Volume 77 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pp. 73–82. Berlin: Springer.

Borell, C. (1979). On the integrability of Banach space valued Walsh polynomials. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XIII (Univ. Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1977/78)*, Volume 721 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pp. 1–3. Berlin: Springer.

Borell, C. (1984). On polynomial chaos and integrability. *Probab. Math. Statist. 3*(2), 191–203.

de Acosta, A. (1975). Stable measures and seminorms. *Ann. Probability 3*(5), 865–875.

de la Peña, V. H. and E. Giné (1999). *Decoupling.* Probability and its Applications (New York). New York: Springer-Verlag. From dependence to independence, Randomly stopped processes. *U*-statistics and processes. Martingales and beyond.

Fernique, X. (1970). Intégrabilité des vecteurs gaussiens. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 270*, A1698–A1699.

Fernique, X. (1997). *Fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes, vecteurs aléatoires gaussiens.* Montreal, QC: Université de Montréal Centre de Recherches Mathématiques.

Hoffmann-Jørgensen, J. (1977). Integrability of seminorms, the $0-1$ law and the affine kernel for product measures. *Studia Math. 61*(2), 137–159.

Hoffmann-Jørgensen, J. (1994). *Probability with a view toward statistics. Vol. I.* Chapman & Hall Probability Series. New York: Chapman & Hall.

Jain, N. C. and D. Monrad (1982). Gaussian quasimartingales. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 59*(2), 139–159.

Jain, N. C. and D. Monrad (1983). Gaussian measures in B_p. *Ann. Probab. 11*(1), 46–57.

Krakowiak, W. and J. Szulga (1986). Random multilinear forms. *Ann. Probab. 14*(3), 955–973.

Krakowiak, W. and J. Szulga (1988). A multiple stochastic integral with respect to a strictly p-stable random measure. *Ann. Probab. 16*(2), 764–777.

Kwapień, S. and W. A. Woyczyński (1992). *Random series and stochastic integrals: single and multiple.* Probability and its Applications. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston Inc.

Ledoux, M. and M. Talagrand (1991). *Probability in Banach spaces*, Volume 23 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)].* Berlin: Springer-Verlag. Isoperimetry and processes.

Marcus, M. B. and J. Rosiński (2003). Sufficient conditions for boundedness of moving average processes. In *Stochastic inequalities and applications*, Volume 56 of *Progr. Probab.*, pp. 113–128. Basel: Birkhäuser.

Pisier, G. (1978). Les inégalités de Khintchine-Kahane, d'après C. Borell. In *Séminaire sur la Géométrie des Espaces de Banach (1977–1978)*, pp. Exp. No. 7, 14. Palaiseau: École Polytech.

Pólya, G. and G. Szegö (1954). *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis. Zweiter Band. Funktionentheorie, Nullstellen, Polynome, Determinanten, Zahlentheorie.* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Anwendungsgebiete. Bd XX. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 2te Aufl.

Rajput, B. S. and J. Rosiński (1989). Spectral representations of infinitely divisible processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields 82*(3), 451–487.

Rosiński, J. (1986). On stochastic integral representation of stable processes with sample paths in Banach spaces. *J. Multivariate Anal. 20*(2), 277–302.

Rosiński, J. and G. Samorodnitsky (1993). Distributions of subadditive functionals of sample paths of infinitely divisible processes. *Ann. Probab. 21*(2), 996–1014.

Rosiński, J. and G. Samorodnitsky (1996). Symmetrization and concentration inequalities for multilinear forms with applications to zero-one laws for Lévy chaos. *Ann. Probab. 24*(1), 422–437.

Rudin, W. (1991). *Functional analysis* (Second ed.). International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc.

Stricker, C. (1983). Semimartingales gaussiennes—application au problème de l'innovation. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 64*(3), 303–312.